

Board of Directors:	January 21, 2019								
<b>George W. Emerson</b> President	California Regional Water Quality Contro	l Board							
Sharon Rose	Central Coast Region Attn: Monitoring and Reporting Review Section 895 Aerovista Place, Suite 101 San Luis Obispo, CA 93401								
Jerry D. Smith									
Robert O. Wageneck									
Steven T. Majoewsky	Facility Name:	Goleta Sanitary District							
Steve D. Wagner, PE General Manager	Address:	One William Moffett Place Goleta, CA 93117							
District Engineer	Contact Person: Job Title: Phone Number:	John Crisman Operations Manager 805-967-4519							
	WDR/NPDES Order Number: WDID Number:	<b>91-03</b> 3 420102002							
	Type of Report (circle one):	Monthly Quarterly Semi-Annual Annual							
	Month(s) (circle applicable months*):	JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN  JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC							
		*Annual Reports (circle the first month of the reporting period)							
	Year:	2018							
	Violation(s) (Place an X by the appropriate choice):  If Yes is marked (complete a-g):	X No (there are no violations to report) Yes							
	a) Parameter(s) in Violation:								
	b) Section(s) of Order No. 91-03 Violated:	·							
	c) Reported Value(s):								



d) Order No. 91-03 Limit/Condition:	
e) Dates of Violation(s): (reference page of report/data sheet)	
f) Explanation of Cause(s): (attach additional information as needed)	
g) Corrective Action(s): (attach additional information as needed)	

### **COMMENTS**

In accordance with the Standard Provisions and Reporting Requirements, I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision following a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my knowledge of the person(s) who manage the system, or those directly responsible for data gathering, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at the number provided above.

Sincerely,

Name: Steve D. Wagner, P.E.

Title: General Manager / District Engineer

Enclosure

cc:

Mr. Tom Bunosky, Goleta Water District

Mr. Jeff Densmore, State Water Resources Control Board, Drinking Water Division

Joy McAndress, Goleta Water District

Prepared By:

Reviewed By:

# WATER RECLAMATION FACILITIES ANNUAL SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS

GOLETA SANITARY DISTRICT WATER RECLAMATION 2018 ANNUAL REPORT

Submitted: January 2019



"Protecting Public Health and the Environment"

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

John Crisman Operations Manager Goleta Sanitary District

Date: January 21, 2019

# LIST OF TABLES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>
6	1	Goleta Sanitary District Operation Staff, 2018
7	2	Reclaimed Water Sampling Plan
8	3	2018 Monthly Averages - Reclamation Facility
9	4	Annual Total Reclaimed Water Production, 1996 – 2018

## LIST OF GRAPHS

<u>Page</u>	<u>Title</u>
10	Graph 1: Reclaimed Water Production and Annual Rainfall
11	Graph 2: Reclaimed Water –Total Flow 2018 Monthly Totals
12	Graph 3: Reclaimed Water – Average Daily Flows 2018
13	Graph 4: Reclaimed Water – Turbidity 2018 Monthly Maximum and Averages
14	Graph 5: Reclaimed Water - Suspended Solids and BOD 2018 Monthly Averages
16	Graph 6: Reclaimed Water Chlorine Residual 2018 Monthly Maximum and Minimum Concentrations

### INTRODUCTION

The Goleta Sanitary District owns and operates a wastewater treatment facility located at One William Moffett Place in the unincorporated Goleta area of Santa Barbara County. In 1992, the District expanded its treatment plant to include water reclamation facilities with the capacity to treat up to 3.3 million gallons per day of secondary treated effluent to tertiary standards. Reclaimed water distribution to the Goleta community began in August 1994. Reclaimed water is available to the community for unrestricted recreational uses such as landscape irrigation. In addition to incidental uses that include construction dust control, compaction and irrigation of landscaping at the wastewater treatment plant, the reclaimed water is used in the restroom facilities at the United States Postal Service, Goleta Beach County Park and on one floor of the Bren Building at UCSB.

The Goleta Sanitary District (GSD) is responsible for the production and on site storage of the reclaimed water. The Goleta Water District independently owns and operates a reclaimed water distribution system used to deliver the reclaimed water to the reclamation customer sites. The Goleta Water District is regulated under separate water reclamation requirements.

Goleta Sanitary District produces reclaimed water in accordance with the monitoring and reporting requirements stipulated in the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Coast Region, Revised Monitoring and Reporting Program No. 91-03. This revised monitoring and reporting program was adopted on May 16, 1996.

This annual report contains summaries of the monitoring data obtained throughout 2018 and discusses the District's compliance record regarding the operation of the reclamation facility.

### **FACILITY OPERATION**

The wastewater treatment plant upgrade project to full secondary treatment was completed in 2013. Although the upgraded facility did not include the construction of any new reclamation facilities, full secondary treatment could allow for an expansion of the reclamation facilities in the future. The reclamation facility is designed to treat up to 3.3 million gallons per day of secondary effluent to tertiary standards.

Secondary effluent enters the reclamation facilities where a flash mixer disperses aluminum sulfate (alum) and polymer into the water. The flocculated secondary effluent is then gravity filtered through a bed of anthracite coal where the floc is removed. The filtered water then flows to a chlorine contact tank where sodium hypochlorite is added for disinfection.

The chlorinated filtered water is then stored in an underground 3 million-gallon storage tank until distribution. Reclaimed water is distributed throughout the Goleta Valley by a

distribution system operated and maintained by the Goleta Water District.

### **FACILITY MAINTENANCE**

A number of maintenance repairs were performed on the reclamation facility equipment during 2018. Repairs can be expected to increase as the facility ages. The repairs were typical of those needed for a 24 year-old treatment facility that is in operation much of the year.

The following is a list of the equipment that was repaired or replaced during 2018:

### Structure:

- 1. Installed a permanent eyewash station at the Sodium Hydroxide tank.
- 2. Performed preventative maintenance on all filtered water valve actuators.

### Chemical:

1. Switched polymers from C6288 to WE-1867 to improve filtered turbidity and reduce polymer use.

### Disinfection:

- 1. Installed a sodium hypochlorite pre-dilution skid to improve hypochlorite mixing at chlorine contact chamber inlet.
- 2. Moved chlorinated sample water return flow from waste sump to flocculation tank to reduce return flow to wastewater treatment plant and to provide pre-chlorination to the filter cells.
- 3. Rebuilt chlorine residual analyzers.

A facility operation and maintenance manual specifically for the reclamation facilities was supplied as part of the original project by the facility design engineers and is dated March 1993. There have been no significant changes to the operation or maintenance requirements of the facility and the manual continues to be current and valid with regards to this facility.

### **STAFF**

Mr. Steve D. Wagner, PE, served as GSD's General Manager and District Engineer during 2018. The General Manager is responsible for overall operations and performance of the treatment plant.

Eleven state certified operators, operated the reclamation facility under the direction of Mr. Robert Hidalgo, the Plant Operations Manager, until July. Mr. John Crisman became the Plant Operations Manager in July 2018 and continues to direct the operation of the reclamation facility. Chuck Smolnikar supervises the reclamation facility's maintenance staff and the laboratory staff and laboratory operations are under the direction of Lena Cox, the Laboratory Manager. The grade and certification number of operations, maintenance, environmental compliance and laboratory personnel, employed during the 2018 operational year, are shown below in Table 1.

TABLE 1. Goleta Sanitary District Operation Staff, 2018

LE 1. Goleta Samtary District	Operation	1 Staff, 2018
Staff	Grade	California Certification No.
	Operators	3
Robert Hidalgo	V	6905
Todd Frederick	V	27633
John Crisman	V	28857
Stephen Conklin	III	7065
Ricardo Lopez	111	10756
Francisco M. Lemus	111	10893
Pete Regis	111	28277
Morgan Lea	111	28400
Jes Hulbert	1	28266
River Ferrara		28488
Justin Graves	[	43450
L	ab Analys	ts
Lena Cox	IV	90334003
Jacob Broad	П	1308213493
Robert Hidalgo	1	741
Teresa Kistner	Ī	99076111
Todd Frederick	ı	60731013
River Ferrara	I	1308214257
John Crisman	I,	1308214787
Mainten	ance Tech	nologist
Carl Easter	III	1308213756
Alejandro Bautista	ı	1308215066
Torrey Jones	1	1308217681
Robert Hidalgo	ı	1087
Electrica	l / Instrum	entation
Charles Smolnikar	Ш	60172004
Dept. of Industr	ial Relatio	ns – Electrician
Charles Smolnikar	NA	107709
Mike Sullivan	NA	139336
Ramon Garza	NA	160174
Environn	nental Con	npliance
Teresa Kistner	II	3014202
Biosolids Land	<u></u>	
Lena Cox	1	70711001

### **MONITORING PROGRAM**

The Goleta Sanitary District monitoring and reporting program was conducted in accordance with the requirements of Order No. 91-03. Reclaimed water samples were collected by treatment plant personnel and analyses were performed for routine parameters by the Goleta Sanitary District's certified in-house laboratory. Metals samples were analyzed by commercial environmental laboratories: FGL of Santa Paula, CA or OEC of Santa Maria, CA. All samples were collected and all analyses were performed according to conditions specified in Table 2.

Analytical methodologies used by GSD, FGL and OEC laboratories are based on approved U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) methods and other methods found in Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater.

TABLE 2. Reclaimed Water Sampling Plan

Parameter	Frequency	Analytical Lab	Sample Type	Standard Method	
Turbidity	Continuous	GSD	Metered	2130 B.	
Chlorine Residual	Continuous	GSD	Metered	4500-CI G.	
Total Coliform	Daily	GSD	Grab	9223 B.	
Settleable Solids	Daily	GSD	Grab	2540 F.	
рH	Daily	GSD	Grab	4500-H+ B.	
BOD	Monthly	GSD	24 hr Comp	5210 B.	
TSS	5 days/week	GSD	24 hr Comp	2540 D.	
TDS	Quarterly	GSD	24 hr Comp	2540 C.	
Cadmium	Semi- annually	FGL	24 hr Comp	200.8	
Lead	Semi- annually	FGL	24 hr Comp	200.8	

#### RECLAIMED WATER CHARACTERIZATION

Results of the reclaimed water chemical analyses used to monitor proper operation of the reclamation facility during 2018 are presented in Table 3. All monthly averaged data presented in this table are calculated from daily values with the exception of the monthly values for total coliform, which are reported as monthly averages of the 7-day median values. Permit limits if applicable are also shown in Table 3. Graphical summaries of the reclaimed water flows and results of chemical analyses are presented in graphs 1 through 6.

TABLE 3. Monthly Average Reclamation Parameters, 2018

# GOLETA SANITARY DISTRICT WASTEWATER LABORATORY 2018 MONTHLY AVERAGES RECLAMATION FACILITIES

монтн	Total Monthly Volume Filtered	Average Monthly Volume Filtered	Turbidity Daily Maximum	Turbidity Daily Average	Total Suspended Solids		BOD			Settleable Solids	рН	1	Total Coliform MPN per	Chlorine Residual Minimum	Chlorine Residual Maximum	Total Dissolved Solids	Cadmium	Lead
	gallons	galions	NTU	NTU		mg/L	L	mg/L		mL/L	units	L	100 mL	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
					_				_			_						
Jan	12,903,328	614,444	0.31	0.15	<	1.0	<	2	<	0.1	6.8	<	1.0	12.40	17.75	1193		
Feb	19,260,077	713,336	0.40	0.14	<	1.0	<	2	<	0.1	6.9	<	1.0	10.27	17.39			
Mar	10,582,614	1,058,261	0.50	0.19	<	1.0	<	2	<	0.1	7.0	<	1.0	14.69	18.97			
Apr	30,909,386	1,188,823	0.81	0.26	<	1.0	<	2	<	0.1	7.0	<	1.0	12.68	18.87	1435	<0.000031	0.00067
May	41,774,113	1,347,552	0.78	0.31		1.1	<	2	<	0.1	6.9	<	1.0	12.02	18.43			
Jun	42,545,116	1,418,171	0.58	0.25	<	1.0	<	2	<	0.1	6.8	<	1.0	11.33	17.10			
Jul	49,570,409	1,599,045	0.51	0.23		1.4	<	2	<	0.1	6.9	<	1.0	11.08	15.89	1193		
Aug	50,187,229	1,618,943	0.63	0.29		1.3	<	2	<	0.1	6.9	<	1.0	10.48	14.74			
Sep	36,536,082	1,217,869	0.56	0.37	<	1.0	<	2	<	0.1	6.9	<	1.0	11.25	14.90			
Oct	27,494,442	1,099,778	0.60	0.33		1.5	<	2	<	0.1	6.9	<	1.0	11.24	14.54	1131	<0.00020	0.00020
Nov	21,378,075	929,482	0.51	0.18	<	1.0	<	2	<	0.1	6.9	<	1.0	11.77	16.00			
Dec	7,915,998	1,319,333	0.54	0.20		1.1	<	2	<	0.1	7.0	<	1.0	5.74	15.98			
Total	351,056,869																	
Average		1,177,086	0.6	0.24	<	1.1	<	2	<	0.1	6.9	<	1.0	11.2	16.7	1,238	<0.00012	0.00044
NPDES											6.5 -	Г						
Limit		3,000,000	5	2		10		10		0.1	8.4		2.2	5		1,500	0.01	5

### **Treatment Flow**

A total of 351 million gallons of secondary effluent was filtered through the reclamation facility during 2018. Demand for reclaimed water increased dramatically from the time the treatment plant was first put on line in 1994 and continued to increase until 1997 when the Goleta Water District completed construction of the current distribution system. Since then the amount of reclaimed water produced by the Goleta Sanitary District has remained somewhat constant.

As shown in Table 4, and Graph 1, the volume of reclaimed water produced during 2018 is typical of the amount since the distribution system was expanded in 1997. The average annual amount produced over the 22 years is 377 million gallons, about 10% more than what was produced during 2018.


Table 4. Annual Total Reclaimed Water Production, 1996 - 2017								
Year	Production, gallons	Rainfall, inches						
1996	109,112,000	23.2						
1997	385,753,500	10.0						
1998	329,447,300	37.7						
1999	421,075,600	8.3						
2000	406,875,900	19.8						
2001	334,096,500	25.1						
2002	445,230,100	12.3						
2003	411,137,500	12.0						
2004	446,849,300	14.8						
2005	378,554,300	24.6						
2006	359,285,400	11.3						
2007	443,866,170	8.7						
2008	424,763,757	16.2						
2009	375,384,904	13.4						
2010	345,683,190	16.7						
2011	303,619,600	14.0						
2012	346,706,200	11.0						
2013	346,046,100	6.3						
2014	386,142,088	10.1						
2015	369,363,600	5.1						
2016	335,564,700	13.7						
2017	341,084,200	18.4						
2018	351,056,869	10.2						

The volume of water produced during 2018 was approximately 10 million gallons more than 2017. As seen in Table 4, the reclaimed water production peaked in 2004 and 2007 then declined every year until 2012 where it stabilized. In general, the amount of reclaimed water produced each year can be loosely correlated with the amount of total rainfall. For example. from 2004 to 2005 the amount of rainfall for the year increased by 10 inches and the amount of reclaimed water produced decreased by 68 million gallons. Similarly, from 2006 to 2007 rainfall totals decreased by almost 3 inches and 84 million gallons more of reclaimed water was produced. Under this observation and due to the low amount of rainfall during the last few years, it might be expected to see the same level or an increase in reclaimed water production for 2019.

Graph 1 shows the total annual reclaimed water production and the total annual rainfall in the Goleta Valley as measured at the wastewater treatment plant rain gauge. In general, as the total precipitation increases, the amount of reclaimed water needed in the community for landscape irrigation decreases.

However, the community has increased water conservation practices over the last few years due to the drought conditions. The relationship between the reclaimed water demand and annual rainfall is demonstrated in Graph 1.


**GRAPH 1** 



Higher volumes of reclaimed water are produced during the dry summer months when the reclaimed water demand for irrigation is greatest due to the lack of rainfall and hotter weather patterns. During 2018, the maximum reclaimed water production occurred in August, when a total of over 50 million gallons were produced. The lowest months for reclaimed water production occurred during March and December. An increase in production occurred during April through September to meet the demand.

With the drop in daytime temperatures during the fall and winter and the occasional rainstorm, the production decreased throughout the fall. Graph 2 illustrates the variations in the total amount of reclaimed water produced each month. These variations are due to fluctuations in landscape irrigation demands throughout the year with the greatest demands occurring during the dry summer months.


GRAPH 2



The high for a single day of operation occurred on July 25<sup>th</sup> when 2.3 million gallons of secondary effluent was filtered in a 24 hour period. The reclamation plant operated 288 days during 2018, a decrease from the 293 days in 2017, and slightly higher than the 274 days of operation recorded during 2016.

Except for March and December data, which may be skewed because of the small number of days the facility was operating, the average monthly increases and decreases closely follow the same pattern as seen in the total volume of reclaimed water production shown in Graph 2. The average monthly production is somewhat higher by reporting per day of operation than it would be if reporting by the number of days in the month. The average monthly volume of reclaimed water produced per day of operation throughout 2018 ranged from a low of 0.61 MGD during January to a high of 1.6 MGD during August. The overall average annual reclaimed water produced per day of plant operation was 1.2 MGD. Graph 3 illustrates the average monthly amount of reclaimed water produced per day of operation for 2018.


GRAPH 3



### **Turbidity**

Reclaimed water effluent turbidity is monitored continuously with two on-line HACH turbidimeters. Permit limits for reclaimed water effluent turbidity must be met following filtration and may not exceed a mean of 2 NTU. Permit limitations specify a maximum turbidity of 5 NTU, which cannot be exceeded, more than 5 percent of the time during any 24 hour period. Monthly averages were very consistent throughout the year and were well below all permit limits. Graph 4 illustrates the small amount of monthly variations in the average reclaimed water turbidity. Monthly average values were very stable and fluctuated between a low of 0.14 to a high of 0.37 NTU. At no time during the year did the mean turbidity exceed the 2 NTU limit.

GRAPH 4




### **Total Suspended Solids**

Total suspended solids (TSS) are measured on 24-hour composite samples, 5 days per week, when the reclamation filter plant is operating on a daily basis. When plant operation is sporadic, as is often the case during the winter months, total suspended solids are collected and analyzed whenever the reclamation treatment plant is in operation.

The reclamation facility is very effective at removing suspended solids from the secondary effluent, as evidenced by the consistently low suspended solids concentrations in the reclamation water. The majority of TSS results were below the reporting limit of 1.0 mg/L. The TSS concentrations ranged from a monthly averaged low below 1.0 mg/L to an averaged high of 1.5 mg/L in October. The 2018 annual high total suspended solids concentration for the reclaimed water was 2.7 mg/L, which is below the 10 mg/L permit limit. Graph 5 demonstrates the very consistent and low suspended solids concentrations obtained throughout 2018.

GRAPH 5



# **Biochemical Oxygen Demand**

GSD received verbal authorization from the RWQCB in July 1997 to eliminate monthly reclaimed water BOD analyses. GSD's current NPDES permit, WDR Order R3-2017-0021, incorporates the operating and monitoring requirements for the reclamation facility which includes monthly BOD monitoring. BOD values of the reclamation water prior to the plant upgrade could fluctuate; however, since the plant now treats the wastewater to the full secondary level the reclaimed water BOD is very low and consistently below the detection limit of 2 mg/L. Graph 5 summarizes BOD monthly data.

### pН

Hydrogen ion concentrations are measured daily on a grab reclaimed water effluent sample whenever the treatment plant is in operation. Daily pH values have been relatively stable throughout the year and therefore so are the monthly averages. pH varied by a less than 1.0 pH units throughout the year from 6.5 to 7.3. Lower pH values have been observed since the plant upgrade. Due to several pH measurements below the lower limit of 6.5 observed during 2014, a sodium hydroxide solution adjustment was implemented in 2015. The addition of the sodium hydroxide solution continued throughout 2018 as needed. There were no pH limit exceedances during 2018.

### **Total Coliform**


The reclamation effluent is analyzed for total coliform organisms each day that the plant is in operation. The GSD laboratory is certified for the total coliform analysis by the IDEXX method using Colilert and Quanti-tray. The analytical method provides results in 24 to 28 hours which provides the operators with information to make adjustments, if necessary. Total coliform results reported as monthly averages are shown in Table 3.

### **Reclaimed Water Disinfection**

Reclaimed water disinfection at the GSD is achieved by adding liquid sodium hypochlorite at the front end of the chlorine contact channel. Chlorine contact tank design parameters indicate that the total detention time of the reclaimed water in the contact tank at maximum flow is 92 minutes, which meets the 90-minute minimum requirement.

The disinfection system has been very effective in removing coliform bacteria from reclaimed wastewater allowing the District to consistently meet the bacterial requirements stipulated in the RWQCB operating permit. Chlorine residuals are continuously monitored both at the beginning and at the end of the chlorine contact tank.

GRAPH 6



### **Total Dissolved Solids**

Total dissolved solids are monitored on a quarterly basis in January, April, July, and October. The total dissolved solids concentrations reported in 2018 were consistent throughout the year and ranged from a low of 1,131 mg/L in October to a high of 1,435 mg/L in April. The annual average was 1,238 mg/L. All values were below the permit limit of 1,500 mg/L. Total dissolved solids results are reported in Table 3.

### **Metals**

The reclaimed water permit requires semi-annual metals testing for cadmium and lead in April and October. Metals analyses are performed on 24-hour composite samples, which are collected and sent to an outside, contract laboratory. All analyses indicated that the concentrations of cadmium and lead were well below the permit limits of 0.01 mg/L for cadmium and 5 mg/L for lead. The actual values are reported in Table 3.

### DISCHARGE COMPLIANCE

Throughout 2018, the Goleta Sanitary District complied with all applicable monitoring and reporting program limitations. Chlorine residual as measured at the end of the chlorine contact channel met its minimum limitation as required by RWQCB Order No. 91-03 on all days. All turbidity limits were met following filtration. Total suspended and settleable solids were determined to be well below the permit limits of 10 mg/L and 0.1 mL/L, respectively. All other detected constituents were below their respective limitations.

All indications are that the reclamation plant continues to operate effectively.